National Front News

5p

ISSUE No. 1

NATIONAL FRONT
53, Greencroft Towers,
Felling, Gateshead 10.

GET BRITAIN BACK TO WORK!

NF puts forward 11-point programme for national recovery

Unions March Against Unemployment

UNEMPLOYMENT in Britain now stands at nearly 1½ million and is rising all the time. Meanwhile the Government stands impotently by, able to offer no better remedy than that workers in grossly overmanned industries should be kept where they are so as to stop the jobless figure climbing still higher.

The unemployment figures are themselves merely symptomatic of a much deeper sickness in the British economy. Our whole industrial system is run down and decrepit, and for every man or woman out of work there are three of four more who have simply lost the will to work. Why should they work in Britain, the loafers' paradise, where the welfare state cares for all and the industrious get squeezed dry with taxes anyway?

If Britain is to really get back to work, and become what she once was, the workshop of the world, we need a wholesale revolution in our economic system, a new industrial revolution as drastic as the old.

And to push such a revolution through we need political leadership of an entirely new type – a type the old parties simply cannot provide.

A type which can only be provided by the National Front.

The response of the old party leaders to the rise of the National Front has been that of frightened little men falling back on lies and hysteria to combat something to which they have no real answer either in word or deed. When we appear they yell 'extremist', but we say that this country now lives in a state of extreme crisis and that only revolutionary measures will suffice to put it back on the road to prosperity and greatness. Britain has been reduced to bankruptcy by men and parties who between the whole lot of them have not produced a single new idea in the hundred years since our nation lost the industrial supremacy of the world. Today in the face of lengthening dole queues they can think of no better than to run around the world lending foreigners money at cheaper rates than we are borrowing money from other foreigners – in the hope that such money might be used to buy goods from tottering industries at home.

In answer to this lunacy we put forward the seemingly unheard-of proposition that the thing to do with Britain's jobless is to put them to work making motor-cycles for their own fellow countrymen to ride on instead of buying those motor-cycles from Japan, making cameras for their own countrymen to take photos with instead of buying those cameras from Japan or Germany, or making airplanes for their own countrymen to fly in instead of buying those airplanes from America.

Today, with 51 per-cent of our huge import bill accounted for by manufactured goods that we should be making in British factories, the cry that we cannot find ample work for our unemployed – and for those millions of superfluous workers in our many over-manned industries – is a pathetic confession of ineptitude.

Very simply, we have to get Britain to work by first of all halving our imports, and this will not be done by pleading with foreigners to sell their goods elsewhere; it will only be done by compelling them to do by legislation. This means we cannot stay in the Common Market, for Market rules forbid us to take any such measures to protect our own industries.

To those who cry that these policies will ruin our export trade, we reply that the facts point otherwise. Nearly all the countries who sell the greatest quantities of manufactured goods to us buy considerably less from us in return. We can still do a big export trade with those countries from whom we have to buy large quantities of raw materials and food. The idea that Britain's position as an exporter depends on our **importing** in the way we do is pure myth.

Industrial self-sufficiency is merely a part of a drastic programme of economic reform which must be put into operation **soon** if this country is not to be ruined beyond all repair. Elsewhere on this front page we list the 11 main priorities of economic policy to which the National Front is dedicated.

NATIONAL FRONT PROGRAMME

- **Britain must have strong government, independent of sectional interests, and with the power to plan and carry through a modern industrial revolution.**
- **We must cease importing foreign-made manufactured goods which can be made in Britain. We must expand old industries, and where necessary set up new ones, to manufacture at home the huge volume of secondary goods that are presently brought from abroad.**
- **There must be a massive trimming down of overmanned industries in Britain, so as to achieve the same level of production per-worker as our major competitors abroad.**
- **The currently unemployed, plus those liable to be made redundant by the trimming down of presently over-manned industries, must be absorbed by those industries producing to replace imports.**
- **A flat-out programme of industrial modernisation must be pursued, with all the necessary incentives to investment being provided. As part of this, the investment of British money overseas must be forbidden except by special licence.**
- **The fight against inflation must be won by the only possible method: the assumption by government of all the means of money creation and the issue of all new money debt-free.**
- **We must restore to all Britons the incentive to work. Taxation must be completely re-scaled so as to stop penalising the industrious. We must purge the social security system of able-bodied shirkers and spongers. Only the genuinely needy should be looked after.**
- **Britain must get out of the European Common Market and seek new trading ties with her natural partners of the White Commonwealth.**
- **We must put an end to the idiotic trade boycotts of countries whose political systems we happen not to like, boycotts which can endanger the livelihoods of hundreds of thousands, perhaps millions, of British workers.**
- **We must put an end, likewise, to overseas charity. Britain cannot afford to send hundreds of millions abroad every year when her own economy is in trouble.**
- **While defending all traditional rights of trade unions, we must conduct all-out war on the communist element within them which promotes industrial unrest for political ends.**

INTRODUCING... NATIONAL FRONT NEWS

No. 1 March-April 1976

Edited by the N.F. Policy Department
Editorial Office: 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex

WHEN the newspaper *Britain First* was launched in 1973 it was intended to be an organ of the **National Front** and was recognised as such by all those concerned with its production, including the appointed Editor, Richard Lawson.

The financial capital to under-write the production costs of the paper during its early development was provided by the **National Front**. The editorial staff carried out their work of producing the paper during the **National Front's** time, for which they were paid by the **National Front**. They used for this purpose office facilities provided by the **National Front**. Their research work was based on newspapers and other periodicals paid for by the **National Front**.

The whole administration and distribution of *Britain First* was regarded as a **National Front** operation and a **National Front** responsibility. Branches and groups of the NF were encouraged by the NF Directorate to regard *Britain First* as **the** party newspaper and to give it priority, as such, over any other publication which was privately owned and managed.

As a pure technicality, *Britain First* was registered as a private publication so as to preclude the NF being legally responsible in the event of any prosecutions being taken against it.

The Editor of *Britain First* has now abused the trust placed in him in this regard by claiming legal control of the paper **after** his departure from the NF and using the paper, founded on **NF** money and built up by **NF** activists, in support of the breakaway 'National Party'.

Were we to take this matter to court, and were it to be there determined on a **moral** basis, there would be no doubt that we would win and retain the right to continue using *Britain First* as an NF publication. In view, however, of the strictly legal position and the great expense of fighting an action which, from this point of view, we may not win, we have decided not to contest the case, and to let Mr. Lawson and his associates do with the name of *Britain First* what they will.

To cater for the need of the NF to continue to have a popular, cheap, easy-to-read, newspaper-style publication, able to be sold in great numbers on the streets, we have now brought out *National Front News.*

National Front News will perform the same function as was previously performed by *Britain First,* and as such it will be produced in basically the same style. There will, however, be one or two differences.

It would be quite possible for us to produce *National Front News* as an eight-page, three-colour publication. We have the means to print it in that form. We have NF branches and groups standing by ready to buy it in quantities that would more than cover printing costs.

We have decided, however, to plan development differently for the time being. We believe that for this paper there are two priorities:–

(a) That it should be sold at the cheapest possible price and therefore in the greatest possible numbers, and, as part of this, that copies can be given away free with the minimum loss.

(b) That it can be produced with the greatest possible speed, so as to achieve the greatest possible topicality. We want to be able to report and comment upon events as soon as possible after they occur.

To obtain these things we have decided to bring the paper out as a four-page, two-colour publication, selling at 5p. Should this policy prove successful from the standpoint of sales, we will keep to it indefinitely; should it not, we will consider changes.

At such time as we are organised to expand the paper, the first goal of expansion will be in the realm of **frequency**, rather than size. We aim to make the paper a **fortnightly** publication as soon as possible and then a **weekly**.

A full-time and permanent Editor for *National Front News* has not yet been appointed. Until there is such an Editor, the paper will be published by the National Front Policy Department and produced by an Editorial Board consisting of the current members of that department, John Tyndall, Martin Webster and Richard Verrall.

We will guarantee to make *National Front News* interesting, topical and with political punch. We will guarantee that it promotes the principles for which the National Front was founded. Only the people at the grass roots of the NF, in the regions, branches and groups, can guarantee its sales. It does not cost much to buy. It ought to be purchased in huge quantities and sold to huge numbers of the British public.

Let everyone get cracking and make sure this is done!

GOVERNMENT 'EXPENDITURE CUTS' A FRAUD

Less for public services but bigger rake-off for bankers

THE latest big con-trick of the Government is its claim to be planning a great new economy drive in public expenditure. The con-trick works like this: announce to the people that less money is going to be spent on various public services, such as Defence, Education, Public Transport and so on, and you create the impression both that the Government is becoming more provident over the handling of the nation's money and that the taxpayer is going to be squeezed less than in the past.

If the people fall for the con-trick the Government can expect to become more popular than it would otherwise have been.

A con-trick the whole thing nevertheless remains, for although the Government may be going to spend less money on public services it does not mean that it is going to demand less from the taxpayer.

In fact it is going to demand more.

The difference is that a greater proportion of Government spending is going to go towards the interest charges on Government loans from the bankers. It is the taxpayer who bears the burden of these charges; as the Government borrows more and more, the taxpayer pays more and more for it. This means that he gets less and less in terms of real Government services for what he pays out.

The recent Government White Paper on proposed public expenditure cuts states that the annual Defence budget should be trimmed by £190M from its already dangerously low figure; £620M should be cut from Education; £500M from the Road and Transport programme; £150M from Health and 'Personal Social Services'; £290M from Food Subsidies; £310M from Housing Subsidies; and £220M from Environmental Services.



CHANCELLOR HEALEY
Who does he think he's kidding?

Yet the amount of money that the Government proposes to squeeze out of the taxpayer is nearly £900M a year (1.7 per-cent) higher than previously.

This is because interest on the National Debt is estimated as £3,300M up on present figures.

On March 10th the Government was defeated in the Commons on this public expenditure programme but only because of the cuts it involved in public services, not because of the debt factor.

What has been happening all these years is that Governments, both Labour and Tory, have been living far beyond their means. When their normal income has been inadequate to cover their expenditure, they have borrowed from the International Bankers, and then kept borrowing. Bit by bit, the interest-charges on this borrowing have mounted up to the point where they have become astronomical.

In the end the taxpayer who has to foot the bill for the interest charges is far worse off than he would have been had he had to pay outright for the things for which the Government borrowed.

Why then does the Government finance public expenditure by borrowing in this way? The answer is simple.

Government borrowing is good business for the Bankers.

And the Bankers control the Government.

Only when we have a Government in Britain that is completely free of Bankers' power will the borrowing stop and the awful burden of interest be shifted from the taxpayer.

CLOWN OF POLITICS DESERVES NO SYMPATHY

THE TWO FACES OF JEREMY THORPE
Top: ***The Clown. Thorpe dons a child safety warden's hat as part of election gimmickery at Carshalton.***
Bottom: ***The worried man. Apprehension from a car-seat, no doubt at what is to come next out of the Norman Scott scandal.***

IT is tempting to feel sorry for Jeremy Thorpe with the scandal currently surrounding him, but we resist the temptation.

For years Thorpe and his Liberals have posed as an 'alternative' force in British politics, feeding on the natural disgust of a large part of the public for the two major parties. Liberals have picked up millions of votes in this way from people who are really not Liberal at all but simply want to register a protest vote against the other two.

In fact Thorpe and Co. have been a combination of the clownish and the treasonable.

On the surface they are a party of cheap and silly gimmicks designed to play down to the electorate and insult its intelligence.

VIRULENTLY ANTI-BRITISH

And underneath they are the most virulently anti-British party in the country: passionately pro-immigration, anti-White South Africa and Rhodesia, pro-Common Market and World Government.

Whether the homosexual allegations against Mr. Thorpe made by Norman Scott ever prove to be true or untrue, it should not really make any difference to his leadership of a party like the Liberals. Was not Young Liberals leader Louis Eaks convicted some years ago of a homosexual act in a public place and then welcomed back into the fold as if nothing had happened?

RHODESIA: ENOCH IS WRONG!

WE in the National Front have often agreed with Enoch Powell, particularly on Immigration and Northern Ireland.

We must now passionately disagree with him – on Rhodesia.

Mr. Powell says that Rhodesia is not Britain's business. If she is attacked by Communist-backed African terrorists, we should not go to her help. If White Rhodesians become refugees as a result of a black take-over of their country, they should not be welcome into Britain.

Mr. Powell's reason for these views is that White Rhodesians "have repudiated the status of British subjects".

Mr. Powell is, we are sorry to have to say, talking nonsense.

Rhodesians did not "repudiate the status of British subjects" because they wanted to; they did so because it was forced upon them by the treacherous policies of a British Labour Government, backed by the Tories. They would have been quite prepared to remain in the Commonwealth if Britain had recognised the Government of Ian Smith and supported the White (and mainly British) settlers in their bid to preserve order and stability in Rhodesia. Britain – or at least her political leaders – must take the blame for the rift with Rhodesia, not the Rhodesians.

Rhodesia came to our aid in the last two world wars. In 1914-18 one-fifth of the entire population volunteered for service; in 1939-45 the proportion was one-sixth. These proportions were extremely high – much higher than the proportion of U.K. citizens serving in the forces, despite the fact that the U.K., unlike Rhodesia, had conscription.

If Rhodesia is now attacked, it is our duty to help defend her with everything we have. If, at the very worst, she was beaten and the Blacks took over, it would be our duty to give a home in Britain to all Rhodesian Whites who wanted to come here. They are of the very best British stock and with the robust political attitudes which most of them have they would represent an excellent addition to the population that we could well do with.

For a further exposition of the National Front point of view, read:–

Spearhead

Spearhead is an independent 20-page monthly magazine which supports the National Front. The views expressed in it are basically the same as those in *National Front News.* The only difference is that it concentrates more on deep and serious articles and less on short, punchy news items, as is the case in our paper. It is nevertheless attractively produced and easy to read. It is highly recommended to all National Front followers.

Spearhead sells at 15p. You can obtain a sample copy through the post for 21½p. Subscription for 12 issues (British Isles only) is £2.58. Details of overseas subscription rates can be obtained on request, as can bulk rates.

Write to: **SPEARHEAD, 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex.**

All patriots should read

CANDOUR

The British Views Letter founded by A. K. Chesterton
Edited by Rosine de Bounevialle
Published by Candour Publishing Co., Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants

In the name of Christianity?

Churches give money for this...

THE picture on the left is of Frelimo guerillas – terrorists, prowling on the Rhodesian border of Mozambique, armed to the teeth and ready to make another raid into Rhodesian territory, where their kind have already committed appalling atrocities against innocent civilians, black and white.

Frelimo has received regular subsidies from the World Council of Churches running into many tens of thousands of pounds. This subsidy has the full backing of a large section of the British Council of Churches, affiliated to the WCC.

The picture on the right is that of an old lady living in a slum dwelling in Britain. For the money that the Church gives to African terrorists like Frelimo, she and many like her could be rescued from these depressing surroundings and decently housed.

It makes you want to vomit, doesn't it?

...While she lives like this



Asian `Not Guilty´ of horrific rape

PRESTON Crown Court delivered a shock verdict early this month when Asian immigrant Tariq Ahmed Sheikh was found "not guilty" of a horrific rape and aggravated assault on a 17 year-old British girl.

The Asian's defence was that the girl and the two police officers involved had conspired to fabricate the evidence against him. This plea was accepted by the court and the immigrant acquitted, despite the evidence of the girl that she had been stripped, beaten with a leather belt and raped by Sheikh in a Blackburn house. She stated that she had then been imprisoned in the house and managed to escape through a small kitchen window. Dr. S. V. Joshi of Blackburn testified during the trial that marks on the girl's body were consistent with her account of what had happened.

The girl, who was in the witness box for more than three hours, said that at one stage she thought she was going to be strangled if she did not co-operate.

Describing her ordeal, the girl told the court that Sheikh had taken her back to his flat. "He got up and came and sat on the couch and tried to kiss me. I pushed him away and said that I wanted to go home. He hit me across the face."

SCREAMING

She then ran screaming to the window and banged on it, but Sheikh pulled her away and on to the floor where they fought. "I bit him on the wrist as hard as I could. He told me to stop struggling and make it easy on myself or he would beat me so that nobody would ever look at me again."

In answer to Mr. Raymond Bennett, prosecuting, she said that in her opinion the Asian looked insane. "His face was distorted, his eyes were glaring and every time I tried to say something he hit me across the face."

The girl was taken upstairs and ordered to take off her clothes. "I was crying and pleading with him and he hit me across the face again. I took my clothes off because I was scared that if I didn't he wouldn't stop hitting me – he might have strangled me or something."

Sheikh, she said, asked her to perform an indecent act. The girl testified that then, in the living room, she was beaten across the back with a leather belt and subsequently raped. When the Asian finally left the house in Langham Road, the girl tried to escape and found all the doors and windows locked, except for a small upper window in the kitchen which she climbed through.

Cross examined by Mr. Brian Duckworth, who asked her if she liked Tariq Sheikh, she replied: "No, in my opinion he is an insane rapist." She denied his suggestion that she had invented the rape story to "explain away a black baby in nine months' time."

Detective Sgt. William Moore told the court that Sheikh had lied to the police in claiming that he had spent only ten minutes with the girl. But tripped up by detectives' questions, he admitted having intercourse with her. Sheikh himself claimed that the girl and both detectives involved in the case had fabricated the evidence against him.

The court evidently accepted this version of the incident by the Asian, which incriminated the police, and rejected the evidence of the police officers and of the girl herself.

In court she had stated: "I was there. It is true." What we ask our readers is: Do you believe this girl? Or do you believe the immigrant?

Sikhs who ran riot in Wolverhampton acquitted

IN another shock ruling, this time in the London Appeal Court, "warring" factions of Sikhs who had fought a pitched battle in the streets of Wolverhampton, had their sentences set aside.

The riot had occurred in Drummond Street, Wolverhampton, when Sikhs armed with hockey sticks had fought an "ugly" battle arising from "political and religious differences". Three Sikhs were subsequently convicted of causing an affray and inflicting grievous bodily harm.

But last month Mr Justice Lawton, sitting in London with two other appeal judges, cleared all three of the charges, while commenting "This kind of street fighting in Wolverhampton is to be deplored".

Gurmej Singh Siduh, a labourer, had his affray conviction quashed and a 12 month suspended prison sentence set aside. Gurmel Singh, also a labourer, had his 12 month jail sentence for affray and causing grievous bodily harm quashed and the sentence set aside. Mahan Singh, a welder, had his 15 month jail sentence similarly quashed.

Mr Justice Lawton appealed after the case for a truce between the warring factions of Sikhs, and expressed the hope that "the Sikh community in Wolverhampton will do its best to restore peace".

Obviously the courts are not going to.

Police probe Immigrant-run child brothel

POLICE in Walsall have been probing a vice-ring said to involve 13 year-old white schoolgirls in a child brothel run by immigrants.

The scandal came to light after a 16 year-old girl spoke to a *Walsall Observer* reporter after she had gone missing from her home and spent a night at a sleazy house in Bagnall Street, Leamore. The information was then passed to the police.

The girl stated that she was one of a dozen who shared beds in one room. She believed that some of the girls took drugs supplied by the controllers of the brothel.

She stated that the girls, many of whom went to the same school, "had become involved with immigrant youths whom they met in a cafe in Stafford Street". Several of the white girls were as young as 13 and as many as thirty had been trapped into the vice-ring. The majority were young girls who had gone missing from their homes.

Not surprisingly, a tight lid of secrecy has been clamped on the whole affair. No word of it was reported in the national press, and *National Front News* learned of it only through a reader of the local *Walsall Observer*. The 16 year-old girl informant, according to the paper, is now being kept at home on police advice and she has been given strict instructions not to speak about the affair to any reporters or even to her mother and father. That seems a trifle unusual, doesn't it?

The latest news, given to *National Front News* by a worried and highly suspicious reporter of the *Walsall Observer,* is that "the people running the thing have gone out of business" since the newspaper report was published, although the police are still looking into the scandal.

It is certainly strange that our daily papers, usually so keen to snatch up a sensational story, have somehow ignored this one. Any guesses why . . . ?

BUY N.F.N. IN BULK

National Front News retails at 5p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies 50p + 13½p post
25 copies £1.12½ + 42p post
50 copies £2.00 + 48p post
100 copies £3.50 + 62p post
150 copies £4.87½ + 87p post
200 copies £6.00 + 98p post
300 copies £8.25 + 98p post
400 copies £10.00 + £1.26 post
500 copies £11.25 + £1.42 post
1,000 copies £20.00 + £2.82 post

Cheques/postal orders to *National Front News,* 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *National Front News* totally separate from other correspondence, in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Heil Brothers!



This picture of Lord George Brown was taken just after he had announced his decision to leave the Labour Party.

It has not yet been confirmed whether his manner of greeting the cameraman is connected with any new political allegiance.

BY-ELECTIONS SHOW N.F. BACK ON MAP

N.F. MARCH THROUGH COVENTRY
Candidate Andrew Fountaine in front, with rosette, to the right of band.

THE NATIONAL FRONT did much to show that it is well on the road to recovery after its recent internal upheavals by its performance in the recent by-elections in Coventry N.W. and Carshalton.

By picking the Coventry constituency, the party picked a difficult area where it had no large and long established branch, and therefore where there had been very little preparatory work.

The NF candidate, Andrew Fountaine, also the party's Deputy Chairman, fought a vigorous campaign and polled 986 votes, which amounted to 2.9 per-cent of the total. This was lower than some polls that the NF has achieved in parliamentary elections but praiseworthy in the circumstances. What is most significant about Mr. Fountaine's vote is that it totally over-shadowed the vote achieved by another 'nationalist' candidate who recently left the NF and set up a splinter party on the grounds that by his doing so there was a better chance of making nationalism 'acceptable' to the public. This candidate polled barely more than a fifth the vote of the NF.

In strongly Conservative Carshalton the NF candidate, Terence Denville-Faulkner, achieved a much higher vote than was forecast even by some of his closest supporters. With 1,851 votes he polled 4.6 per-cent of the total. This was considerably higher than the average NF vote in the two General Elections of 1974 and in an area traditionally difficult for the party to make headway.

In addition to the area factor, also weighing against the NF was its difficulties caused by a recent attempt to split the party and consequently grave financial losses, as well as its having to move Headquarters, with all the disorganisation that that causes. The two results show that the party is well on the way back to normal.

In the Coventry campaign red violence pursued the NF in the manner to which it has become accustomed. On Saturday, February 28th about 700-800 NF members marched through the city in support of Mr. Fountaine. The main body of reds, who had been transported to the area from all over the country to make trouble, were not numerous enough to threaten the NF marchers even if the Police had not been present to maintain order. However, a small section of the red mob, about 50 in number, did make an attack on the NF committee rooms just as Andrew Fountaine, John Tyndall, Martin Webster and about six other NF members were leaving to join the march. Mr. Fountaine was attacked and thrown to the ground and kicked; Mr. Tyndall in going to help him was also kicked and hit by a stone, from which he received a minor head injury. Martin Webster and Midlands NF stalwarts, Ron Davison, Peter Charnock and Mrs. Joan Jones were also in the thick of the attacks. The few NF members stopped the reds from getting into the building and the latter had to be content with a few stones through the windows.



T. DENVILLE-FAULKNER
Excellent vote in difficult constituency

Now let's get NF Building Fund really going!



Over a year ago we announced that a National Front Building Fund had been launched with the object of providing the party with a really prestigious National Headquarters building under its own ownership, with ample office facilities, printing works and social club.

During the last year the Fund has not been very successful, due partially to the fact that the central administration of the party (now entirely changed) did very little to promote it in terms of publicity and also to the fact that general instability and internal divisions in the party led to a lowering of confidence among members and supporters and, as a result, to a reluctance to respond to what small appeal was made.

The instability and divisions have now disappeared and the party is thoroughly united and once again growing fast. We have decided therefore that this is the time to give the Building Fund a new push. From now on we are going to do everything possible to encourage members and supporters of the NF to help in achieving the Fund's target.

We have set a provisional target figure of £20,000. This sum should enable us to put a down-payment on a really substantial building, and then meet the subsequent mortgage payments out of the considerable income which will undoubtedly come from the club and from the printing economies once we are self-sufficient in that field.

Since the Fund was officially launched, it has realised the modest figure of just under £500. We are making the figure up to a round £500 and then restarting the Fund from there. Now is the time to give all you can to this vital project.

Single lump sums to the Fund will be most welcome. Some people, however, may prefer to contribute a regular amount by banker's order, and we will be very pleased to receive donations in this way.

If we try, we can this year raise many times the figure raised so far. Let's start now, and give the NF a Headquarters of which it can be really proud!

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *National Front News* each month why not take out a subscription? No single subscriptions accepted. Subscriptions only accepted for 5 copies per issue or over. Subscription for 5 copies: £4.08 (12 issues).

I enclose subscription of £4.08 for 5 copies of *National Front News* for 12 issues.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

N.F.N. APPEAL FUND

We would like you to buy NATIONAL FRONT NEWS and resell it. If, however, you cannot do this but would nevertheless like to help us promote it, then why not send us a donation? For every £1 received we can print another 65 copies. Contributions should be addressed to National Front News, 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex.

NATIONAL FRONT RALLY

STAND BY WHITE RHODESIA!

Conway Hall,
Red Lion Square,
London W.C.1.

TUESDAY, APRIL 20th, 7.45 p.m.
(Leading NF speakers on platform)

This meeting is a vital event in the NF campaign to mobilise both moral and practical support for the Whites in Rhodesia in their resistance to Communist take-over.

NATIONAL FRONT

ANNUAL

St. George's Day March

SATURDAY, 24th APRIL
at BRADFORD

ASSEMBLE at LUMB LANE RECREATION GROUND
at 2.30 p.m. **MARCH OFF** at 3.00 p.m.
INDOOR RALLY at City Centre at 4.00 p.m.

Speakers include: John Tyndall, Andrew Fountaine, Jim Merrick, and George Wright. *Chairman: A. Brons.*

For a detailed exposition of National Front economic policy buy . . .

BEYOND CAPITALISM AND SOCIALISM
Price 30p

This and many other excellent books can be obtained from:

NATIONALIST BOOKS

91 CONNAUGHT ROAD, TEDDINGTON, MIDDX.
Send for free catalogue now!

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in Rhodesia and South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The banning of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support of the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

National Front puts Britain *FIRST*

To: National Front, 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex.
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information about the National Front for which I enclose 15p.	
I wish to become a Full Member of the National Front, and enclose £2.00.	
I wish to become an Associate Member of the National Front, and enclose £1.00.	

Name .....

Address .....

.....